REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GRANT COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Judy A. Fortner, Grant County Clerk
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Ross & Company, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Clerk of Grant County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2002.

We engaged Ross & Company, PLLC to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Ross & Company, PLLC evaluated the Grant County Clerk's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

El Sharher

Enclosure



REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GRANT COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GRANT COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

Ross & Company, PLLC has completed the Grant County Clerk's audit for the year ended December 31, 2002. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees decreased by \$10,435 from the prior calendar year, resulting in excess fees of \$104,430 as of December 31, 2002. Revenues increased by \$201,849 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$212,284.

Report Comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Clerk's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	. 1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	.3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	.11
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	.11

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Judy A. Fortner, Grant County Clerk
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Clerk of Grant County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2002. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Clerk. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Clerk's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Judy A. Fortner, Grant County Clerk
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated August 18, 2003, on our consideration of the County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -August 18, 2003

GRANT COUNTY JUDY A. FORTNER, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

Receipts

State Fees For Services		\$ 8,650
Fiscal Court		6,202
Licenses and Taxes:		
Motor Vehicle-		
Licenses and Transfers	\$ 607,573	
Usage Tax	2,944,723	
Tangible Personal Property Tax	1,464,946	
Licenses-		
Marriage	8,763	
Occupational	1,302	
Deed Transfer Tax	61,710	
Delinquent Tax	185,346	5,274,363
Fees Collected for Services:		
Recordings-		
Deeds, Easements, and Contracts	\$ 17,817	
Real Estate Mortgages	59,911	
Chattel Mortgages and Financing Statements	77,447	
Powers of Attorney	984	
Mail in Registration-Postage	1,055	
Motor Vehicle Lien Releases	6,341	
Written Release	17,845	
All Other Recordings	13,603	
Charges for Other Services-		
Copywork	3,404	198,407
Other:		
Returned Check Service Fees	\$ 851	
Miscellaneous Income	844	1,695
Interest Earned		3,140
Total Receipts		\$ 5,492,457

GRANT COUNTY JUDY A. FORTNER, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2002 (Continued)

Disbursements

Payments to State: Motor Vehicle-			
Licenses and Transfers	\$	461.060	
	Ф	461,060	
Usage Tax		2,843,703	
Tangible Personal Property Tax		515,858	
Licenses, Taxes, and Fees-			
Delinquent Tax		24,305	
Legal Process Tax		22,913	\$ 3,867,839
Payments to Fiscal Court:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	\$	154,374	
Delinquent Tax		26,770	
Deed Transfer Tax		58,624	
Occupational Licenses		1,059	240,827
		-,	,
Payments to Other Districts:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	\$	736,188	
Delinquent Tax		91,927	828,115
Payments to Sheriff			1,872
Payments to County Attorney			26,907
Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:			
Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Salaries	\$	208,414	
Part-Time Salaries		20,925	
Employee Benefits-		_ = 0,5 _ = 0	
Employer's Share Social Security		22,091	
Employer's Share Retirement		17,333	
Employer's Paid Health Insurance		20,619	
Contracted Services-		20,017	
Advertising		684	
Bonds and Unemployment Insurance		597	
<u> </u>			
Printing and Binding		3,839	

GRANT COUNTY JUDY A. FORTNER, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2002 (Continued)

<u>Disbursements</u> (Continued)

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay (Continued):

Contracted Services (Continued)-				
Telephone	\$ 1,718			
Materials and Supplies-				
Office Supplies	10,432			
Other Charges-				
Conventions and Travel	200			
Dues	600			
Miscellaneous	1,685			
Postage	7,638			
Net Returned Checks	811			
Net Refunds and Overpayments	12,956			
Capital Outlay-				
Office Equipment	20,572	\$ 351,114		
Debt Service:				
Lease Purchases		 5,494		
T (10'1			Ф	5 222 179
Total Disbursements			\$	5,322,168
Net Receipts			\$	170,289
Less: Statutory Maximum			Ψ	62,259
Zeess. Statestory Maximum				02,237
Excess Fees			\$	108,030
Less: Expense Allowance			·	3,600
T				- ,
Excess Fees Due County for 2002			\$	104,430
Payments to County Treasurer - February 6, 2003		\$ 103,715		
August 18, 2003		715		104,430
Balance Due at Completion of Audit			\$	0

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2002

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2002.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Clerk's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.41 percent for the first six months and 6.34 percent for the last six months of the year.

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2002 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The County Clerk maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 64.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the County Clerk and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2002, the County Clerk's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the County Clerk's agent in the County Clerk's name, or provided surety bond which named the County Clerk as beneficiary/oblige on the bond.

Note 6. Lease

The office of the County Clerk is committed to a lease agreement with Software Management. The agreement requires an annual payment for 5 years and will be completed on September 6, 2005. The remaining balance of the agreement is \$11,267 as of December 31, 2002.

Annual payments for the remainder of the agreement are as follows:

Year	Year Principal and Int				
2003	\$	5,494			
2004	\$	5,773			





GRANT COUNTY JUDY A. FORTNER, COUNTY CLERK COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITION AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

We recognize the extent of segregation of duties is a judgment established by management. We also recognize this judgment is affected by certain circumstances beyond the elected officials control such as functions prescribed by statutes and regulations, and by budgetary constraints. Due to limited staff, a proper segregation of duties may be impossible. However, the lack of adequate segregation of duties is hereby noted as a reportable condition pursuant to professional auditing standards. We believe this reportable condition as described above is a material weakness.

PRIOR YEAR:

None.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Judy A. Fortner, Grant County Clerk
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Grant County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated August 18, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Grant County Clerk's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2002, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Grant County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described above to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed - August 18, 2003